

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/608,977	BARKER, KENNETH CRAIG	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Dmitry Suhol	3725	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Dmitry Suhol.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Ken Barker.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 December 2005
**Time:** 10am
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

15-17

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant and the examiner went over applicants newly submitted claims to fully understand the invention and in order to eliminate 112 2nd paragraph issues in order that the application may be allowed. The examiner and the applicant agreed that the changes to the application would be carried out by an examiner's amendment..